

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O.   I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/009,501		05/06/2002	Josee Golay	9655	4974
466	7590	02/16/2006		EXAMINER	
YOUNG	& THOM	PSON	SCHWADRON, RONALD B		
	TH 23RD S	TREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2ND FLC				AKTONT	TAI EK HOMBEK
ARLING	TON, VA	22202		1644	

DATE MAILED: 02/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer		10/009,501	GOLAY ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Ron Schwadron, Ph.D.	1644				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address ~				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
		—· s action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the merits is				
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
- 4\1⊠1	Claim(s) 4-18 is/are pending in the application						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-18</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· _	Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>4-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	•						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
		r clockon requirement.					
_	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachmeni	· ·						
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/009,501 Page 2

Art Unit: 1644

1. Regarding applicants comments, as per paragraph 7 of the previous Office Action, cancelled claims 1-3 were interpreted as referring to a *method of making a composition*.

Newly submitted claims 8-18 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons. This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Group I, claim(s) 4-7, drawn to a vector and cell containing said vector. Group II, claim(s) 8-18, drawn to a method of controlling GVHD. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons. The invention of Group I lacks a special technical feature because it is anticipated by the prior art for be reasons elaborated in this Office Action.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 8-18 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

- 2. The information disclosure statement filed 11/22/05 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(c) because it lacks a statement as specified in 37 CFR 1.97(e). The information disclosure statement filed 11/22/05 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(c) because it lacks the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p). It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. Regarding applicants comments, said reference was previously filed in incomplete form and therefore was not considered.
- 3. The rejection of claims 1-3 under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966) as

Application/Control Number: 10/009,501 Page 3

Art Unit: 1644

elaborated in the previous Office Action is withdrawn in view of the cancellation of said claims.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. The rejection of claims 1-3 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Anderson et al. (US Patent 5,736,137) is withdrawn in view of the cancellation of said claims.
- 6. Claims 4-7 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bubien et al. for the reasons elaborated in the previous Office Action. Applicants arguments have been considered and deemed not persuasive.

Bubien et al. disclose expression vectors encoding human CD20 (see page 1122). Bubien et al. disclose human T cell lines transfected with said vector wherein said cells express the CD20 on the cell surface (see page 1123-1124 and Figure 1). The human T cell lines are human T lymphocytes.

Regarding applicant comments, the Jurkat human T cell lines transfected with vector encoding human CD20 wherein said cells express CD20 on the cell surface are "human T lymphocytes". Jurkat cells are human T cell lines which are encompassed by the term "human T lymphocytes". While the specification does not specifically define the aformentioned term, Example 6 refers to "peripheral fresh human T lymphocytes" when referring to freshly isolated peripheral human T lymphocytes, and Examples 3-5 disclose use of human T cell lines.

7. The rejection of Claims 1-7 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Introna et al. is withdrawn in view of the translated foreign priority document and statement verifying said translation filed 11/22/05.

- 8. No claim is allowed.
- 9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ron Schwadron, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571 272-0851. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30-6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on 571 272-0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FONALD B. SCHWADRON
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1889 ( 600

Primary Examiner

Ron Schwadron, Ph.D.

Art Unit 1644